· · · · ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/041,138	EMENHISER, THOMAS
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Peter A. Hruskoci	1724
All Participants:	Status of Application	1:
(1) <u>Peter A. Hruskoci</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>C. Douglas McDonald</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 10 September 2003	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed: 1, 8		
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENI It was agreed to amend claims 1 and 8 as shown in the Examir		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. T of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa 	he examiner will provide a record of the substance	a written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
Pote, a & Lunder		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applica	nt/Applicant's Representat	tive Signature – if appropriate)